1 2 3 4		THE HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Nineteenth Region Relations Board, for NATIONAL LABOR INTERNATIONAL LUNION, LOCAL 21	RN, Regional Director of the of the National Labor and on behalf of the RELATIONS BOARD Petitioner v. CONGSHORE and WAREHOUSE Respondent and CONGSHORE and WAREHOUSE Respondent Respondent)))) Civil No. 3:11-CV-05684 -RBL)) (PROPOSED) FINDINGS OF) FACT AND CONCLUSIONS) OF LAW FOR CONTEMPT OF) THE SEPTEMBER 1, 2011) TEMPORARY RESTRAINING) ORDER))))))	
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	ANNE P. POMERANTZ, Regional Attorney, Region 19 DANIEL SANDERS, Attorney, Region 19 JOHN FAWLEY, Attorney, Region 19 HELENA FIORIANTI, Attorney, Sub-Region 36 NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD, Region 19 915 Second Avenue, Room 2948 Seattle, Washington 98174, Telephone: (206) 220-6301 FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS- Page 1 OF LAW FOR CONTEMPT Civil No. 3:11-CV-05684 Seattle, Washington (206) 220-6301			

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

(PROPOSED) FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FOR CONTEMPT

Based on the verified Petition of Richard L. Ahearn ("Petitioner"), Regional Director of Region 19 of the National Labor Relations Board (the "Board"), for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction pursuant to Sections 10(i) and (l) of the National Labor Relations Act (the "Act"), as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 160(j) and (l), this Court, by the undersigned, issued a Temporary Restraining Order ("Restraining Order") on September 1, 2011. This cause came to be heard on September 15, 2011, due to allegations of civil contempt of that Restraining Order.

The Court, upon consideration of the pleadings, evidence, briefs, arguments of counsel and the entire record in this case, makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On August 31, 2011, Petitioner initiated proceedings in the Western District of Washington seeking both temporary and preliminary injunctive relief against Respondents International Longshore and Warehouse Union Locals 21 and 4 ("Respondents") pursuant to $\S\S$ 160(j) and (l) of the Act due to Respondent's having engaged in a litany of unlawful strike line misconduct with improper object, including mass protesting, trespassing, violence, threats of violence, and property damage to EGT, including blocking of railroad tracks so that trains could not make deliveries to EGT's facility at the Port of Longview, Washington. [Document Nos. 1-161.
- 2. On September 1, 2011, this Court, by the undersigned, Judge Ronald B. Leighton, issued a Temporary Restraining Order (the "Restraining Order"), granting, in substantive part, that portion of the Petition under §§ 10(i) and (I) of the Act. [Docket No. 28].
- 3. As set forth in the Restraining Order, Respondents, their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all members and persons acting in concert or participation with them, from the date of the Restraining Order until the issuance of a Preliminary Injunction on September 8, 2011, were enjoined and restrained from "[e]ngaging with an unlawful object, inter-

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4. Despite the issuance of the Restraining Order, and the clear mandate of this Court that the trains serving the EGT facility were not to be held hostage, Respondents engaged in further strike line misconduct.
- 5. At approximately 6:45 am on or about September 7, 2011, officers and agents of Respondents, acting in concert with the International Longshore and Warehouse Union and its president Robert McEllrath, engaged in a mass protest, culminating in several hundred persons' participation, in Vancouver, Washington. Respondents and those acting in concert with them, while wearing insignia and carrying signs identifying Respondents, blocked the tracks and prevented the passage of a Burlington Northern Santa Fe ("BNSF") train scheduled to deliver corn to the EGT facility at the Port of Longview. Said blockage forced not only prevented passage of the EGT-bound BNSF train, but also forced the delay of others by other carriers as well. After several hours, although Respondents and those acting in concert with them permitted the other trains to proceed, they did not permit the EGT-bound train to proceed. It was not until approximately 6 hours later that Respondents and those acting in concert with them dispersed, clearing the tracks and allowing the EGT-bound train to get underway at approximately 1:45 pm.
- 6. Approximately 1½ hours later, at 3 pm on September 7, 2011, officers and agents of Respondents (including Shelly Porter, Byron Jacobs, and Rick Anderson), acting in concert with the International Longshore and Warehouse Union and its president Robert McEllrath, while wearing insignia and carrying signs identifying Respondents, trespassed and

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS- Page 4 OF LAW FOR CONTEMPT Civil No. 3:11-CV-05684

(d)

24

25

2948 Jackson Federal Building 915 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington (206) 220-6301

Stealing and driving a vehicle belonging to Columbia Security and

driving the vehicle into a drainage ditch, damaging the front portion of the vehicle;

of Respondents or those acting in concert with them, including the International, have disavowed any of the conduct engaged in on either day or that reasonable steps had been taken to secure compliance with the Restraining Order. Rather, Local 21 has posted on its "Facebook" page pictures of the September 7 mass picketing and blocking of the BSNF train. Further, Counsel for Respondents could make no representation to this Court that such conduct violative of the Restraining Order or, now, the Preliminary Injunction, would cease. [Tr. p.116, 117].

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction of the parties and of the subject matter of these proceedings, and under 29 U.S.C. §§ 160(j) and (l), is empowered to grant injunctive relief.
- 2. This Court, upon a showing of clear and convincing evidence, has the authority to find parties in civil contempt of its injunctions issued on matters brought pursuant to §§ 160(j) and (l) of the Act. Hoffman v. ILWU, Local 10, 492 F. 2d 929 (9th Cir. 1974), cert. granted sub nom. on other grounds and affirmed, Muniz v. Hoffman, 422 U.S. 454 (1975), citing Madden v. Grain Elevator, Flour & Feed Mill Workers, 334 F.2d 1014, 1020 (7th Cir. 1974), cert. denied, 379 U.S. 967 (1965).
- 3. This Court may find Respondents responsible for picket line misconduct if Respondents approved the misconduct, participated actively, or knowingly tolerated it. *United Mine Workers v. Gibbs*, 383 U.S. 715, 739 (1966). *See*, e.g., *Soft Drink Workers Union Local 812*, 304 NLRB 111 (1991) (when union actually or implicitly authorized the conduct before or after it happened, it is responsible for misconduct); *Teamsters Local 860*, 229 NLRB 993, 994 (1977) (same). Their "responsibility for acts by [their] officers and members is controlled by common-law agency principles; therefore implied or apparent authority is sufficient to establish agency." *NLRB v. Advanced Sys., Inc.*, 681 F.2d 570, 576 (9th Cir. 1982). Further, where there is a pattern of misconduct, the union is liable absent affirmative steps to end the misconduct. *Dover Corp.*, 211 NLRB 955, 956-59 (1974), *enforced*, 535 F.2d 1205 (10th Cir. 1976).

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS- Page 6 OF LAW FOR CONTEMPT Civil No. 3:11-CV-05684 2948 Jackson Federal Building 915 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington (206) 220-6301

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4.

clear, cogent and convincing evidence at the hearing on September 15, 2011, that Respondents, acting in concert with the International Longshore and Warehouse Union by the conduct of its president, are responsible for and have themselves violated the terms of the Restraining Order by engaging in mass picketing, physical threats, property damage and blocking of ingress of the BNSF train at Vancouver and Longview scheduled to deliver corn to the EGT facility at the Port of Longview.

There is, and the Court has, reasonable cause to believe by the presentation of

- 5. On September 15, 2011, this Court issued an Order adjudicating Respondents and the International Longshore and Warehouse Union to be in civil contempt of the Restraining Order. [Document No. 73].
- Restraining Order, this Court shall, after a hearing on September 30, award compensatory damages for the harm caused on September 7 and 8, 2011, and impose a prospective fine schedule to compel future compliance with the preliminary injunction as is permitted by precedent. *International Union, United Mine Workers v. Bagwell*, 512 U.S. 821, 827 (1994) (fines to compel future compliance appropriate); *Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 45 (1991) (compensatory damages include attorneys' fees); *Fleischmann Distilling Corp. v. Maier Brewing Co.*, 386 U.S. 714, 718 (1967) (compensatory damages include attorneys' fees); *United Mine Workers*, 330 U.S. at 304 (compensatory damages); *Cunningham v. Weston*, 180 Fed. Appx. 644, 648 (9th Cir. 2006) (compensatory damages); *NLRB v. Ironworkers Local 433*, 169 F.3d 1217, 1218, 1221 (9th Cir. 1999) (enforcement of prospective non-compliance fine for alleged violations does not trigger need for criminal procedural safeguards if fines are prompted by a

23

1	party's previous failure to adhere to a court order); Richmark Corp. v. Timber Falling Consultants			
2	959 F.2d 1468, 1482 (9 th Cir. 1992) (coercive fines payable to the Court).			
3	DATED this 30 day of September 2011.			
4 5	Rud 3 Ceiphi			
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
8				
9	Presented by:			
10				
11	/s/ Anne Pomerantz			
12	<u>/s/ Daniel Sanders</u>			
13	<u>/s/ John Fawley</u>			
14	/s/ Helena Fiorianti			
15	Anne Pomerantz, Attorney, CA Bar 204059; NY Bar 2398428			
16	Daniel Sanders, Attorney, WA Bar 3679			
17	John Fawley, Attorney, MA Bar 160410			
18	Helena Fiorianti, Attorney, NJ Bar 2006-00127; NY Bar 4442786			
19	National Labor Relations Board			
20	915 2 nd Ave, Suite 2948			
21	Seattle, WA 98174			
22	Telephone (206) 220-6301			
23	Fax: (206) 220-6305			
24	Email: Anne.Pomerantz@nlrb.gov			
25	Daniel.Sanders@nlrb.gov			
26	John.Fawley@nlrb.gov			
27	Helena.Fiorianti@nlrb.gov			
28	Counsel for Petitioner			